

Appendix F: Note of Key Consultations with Statutory Environmental Bodies and Other Environmental Consultees

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
Statutory Environmental Bodies				
Natural England (formerly English Nature, the Countryside Agency and the Rural Development Service)	1. Environmental Statement Scoping Report, 27.10.06	7.12.06	12.2.07	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ES to describe alternatives considered and the environmental advantages of proposed scheme, including better use of existing infrastructure and better management of highway capacity. AST to be updated to reflect the money values of CO₂ emissions ES to detail landscape and ecological mitigation measures, using the Countryside Character Area descriptions. Biodiversity issues to be discussed in Ecology sub-group. Landscape mitigation to include various priorities – to be shown on the masterplan – including measures beyond the road corridor. ES to describe measures to minimise disruption to NMUs during construction and to re-open routes soon after.
	2. Ecological Workshop, 6.9.06	-	-	NE invited but unable to attend. Minutes forwarded 15.9.06.
	3. Draft Ecological Surveys Summary Report, 12.12.06	-	-	Report sent for information prior to second Ecological Workshop.
	4. Ecological Workshop, 13.12.06	-	-	<p>NE attended. Minutes circulated 15.1.07. Issues raised by NE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Road lighting would be obtrusive, especially across the floodplain, affecting NMUs. Consideration to be given to Badger bait marking. We should contact Suzanne Perry at NE's Grantham office for advice on impact on Lockington Marshes SSSI (forward Water Quality assessment?). We should consult with East Midlands Airport if we are proposing water bodies. Method Statement on River Soar Floodspan construction in relation to existing bat roost to be forwarded to NE. This to discuss the possibility of fitting bat boxes or bat bricks within the bridge. Happy with the study area for surveys. Badger fencing should extend at least 500m either side of underpasses. Can new cuttings be allowed to self-colonise? Can we use cuttings from local plants?
	5. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	18.10.07	-	Confirmation that NE, HA & their representatives have been involved in informal discussions on the scheme for some time. NE wishes to be consulted on the full ES in due course.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
	6. Draft Environmental Statement	3.9.08	2.10.08	<p>Draft ES issued on 22.8.08 for comment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NE satisfied with range of ecological surveys undertaken, assessment conclusions and proposed mitigation. • Close proximity of Clifton Grove, Clifton Woods & Holme Pit Pond LNR may offer opportunities to provide enhancements during construction, but noise may impact on the enjoyment of the LNR. • Advice should be sought on the status of water voles in Leicestershire as they may be considered as more than District importance. • NE concurs with conclusions within the landscape section of the ES. However, the visual impacts set out in 5.9.2 seem at odds with overall conclusion of slight adverse impact. • NE is satisfied with the contents of the AST.
English Heritage	1. Invitation to Workshop by email dated 15.9.06	19.9.06	-	<p>Reply from Angela Simco, Inspector of Ancient Monuments, that since no scheduled monuments or highly graded listed buildings will be affected the local authority archaeological advisors should deal with the scheme.</p>
	2. Environmental Statement Scoping Report, 27.10.06	5.12.06	7.12.06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We should speak to Angela Simco about impact on the Scheduled Roman Villa at Glebe Farm. • County Archaeologists should be consulted. • ES to include assessment of impacts on listed buildings, scheduled monuments, conservation areas and the registered park at Clifton Hall.
	3. HA letter 25.5.07 inviting comments on scheme development	17.7.07	12.10.07	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EH wishes to be consulted on any works that might affect the Glebe Farm Scheduled Monument (SM). Other non-designated archaeology can be dealt with by Nottinghamshire County archaeologists on behalf of EH. HA explained that the County Archaeologist has approved proposed mitigation measures and that there would be no significant impact on the SM. • The need for an offline route should be reconsidered for landscape & archaeological reasons. HA explained that the historic landscape assessment acknowledges that the offline route will cause some visual impact but this will be slight. There are other environmental advantages of the offline route. • Ratcliffe on Soar / Parkway junction might have adverse visual and noise impacts on setting of listed buildings in Ratcliffe on Soar. HA explained that the junction will be at a similar height to existing with only slight adverse impact on Ratcliffe on Soar.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
	4. Meeting with EH, Nottinghamshire County Archaeologist, HA and their advisors, 6.12.07	9.1.08	16.1.08	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HA's archaeological advisors the University of Leicester Archaeological Services (ULAS) described their Historic Landscape Character Assessment of the offline route. Agreed that the County Council's Historic Landscape Characterisation is flawed south of Clifton. Agreed that offline route will have landscape implications but detailed design would minimise these, whilst recognising the significance of Barton Moor/Clifton Pastures pre-enclosure landscape. EH letter dated 9.1.08 confirmed that Glebe farm Scheduled Monument will not be significantly affected. EH letter dated 9.1.08 stresses need for the ES to address the historic landscape implications of the alternatives and indicate why the preferred route is better. HA confirmed that this will be done.
	5. Draft Environmental Statement	2.9.08	5.9.08	Draft ES issued on 22.8.08 for comment. A number of relatively minor comments on points of detail were received and incorporated into the final ES.
Environment Agency	1. Environmental Statement Scoping Report, 27.10.06	21.11.06	28.11.06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EA to be consulted regarding any waste management licensing issues. There are 2 landfills close to the scheme, Winking Hill and Borrows Farm. No overall public access in the area should be lost, and should preferably be improved. A number of ponds and lakes around Barton in Fabis are fed by watercourses, the water quality in which must be maintained. Impact on anglers/fisheries to be considered in the ES. Any work over watercourses and floodplains will require consent from the EA Development Control team.
	2. Ecological Workshop, 6.9.06	-	-	EA attended. Minutes circulated 15.9.06. Issues raised by EA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil Aviation Authority has in the past been approachable and willing to negotiate over possible bird-strike. EA will request a like-for-like replacement in ditch area as a minimum. We should consider off-site habitat replacement.
	3. Draft Ecological Surveys Summary Report, 12.12.06	-	-	Report sent for information prior to second Ecological Workshop.
	4. Ecological Workshop, 13.12.06	-	-	EA attended. Minutes circulated 15.1.07. Issues raised by EA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> River Soar and Canal must be able to continue to flow unimpeded during and following construction.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Balancing ponds have a primary purpose for attenuating drainage, not as ecological mitigation. However, they can be enhanced for biodiversity by creating a series of linked ponds with different levels of management, with some marginal vegetation. New ditches could be deepened and include shelving for the benefit of water voles. Barton in Fabis flood defence scheme may include enhancements to the Barton in Fabis Fishing Pools SINC.
	5. On-going discussions/ meetings	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several discussions and meetings have been held with the EA since the start of the contract, with regard to flood modelling, bridge design and water quality issues. Minutes of these have been circulated. Consultations with the EA are on-going.
	6. Road Drainage and the Water Environment assessment, Rev 2 Sept.07	29.10.07	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirmation from EA that proposed pollution prevention measures are acceptable.
	7. Flood Risk Assessment Report A021959-REP-E-FL-090 Rev 2, December 2007	1.2.08	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verbal confirmation in telephone call on 1.2.08 that EA are happy with the FRA and have a few minor comments on the drainage proposals. EA to confirm in writing.
	8. Draft Environmental Statement	1.9.08	2.10.08	<p>Draft ES issued on 22.8.08 for comment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request made for details of otter monitoring, water vole translocation and new receptor habitat to be submitted when available. Request made for final Flood Risk Assessment to be submitted with the final ES.
Other Environmental Consultees				
East Midlands Regional Assembly	1. Email following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	20.7.07	-	<p>EMRA's Regional Housing, Planning and Transport Joint Board considered the proposals at its meeting on 16.7.07:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supports the proposals, but design should take account of future public transport alignments such as links to East Midlands Airport and extension of the NET to M1/A50/A42 junctions via Parkway Station.
Leicestershire County Council	1. Meeting with Richard Clark, Senior Planning Archaeologist, on 19.9.06	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LCC want to see a staged approach in the Desk Based Assessment, which considers the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) all background information, including LiDAR survey information on alluvium; (ii) the value of fieldwalking; (iii) the value of further geophysics; (iv) the value of limited trial trenching (of approx. 0.5% of land take) prior to assessment.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geotechnical ground investigation could give information on alluvium. A specialist such as Stratascan could give advice. Agreed that a controlled strip prior to construction is appropriate. Consideration should be given to avoiding disturbance by leaving soil intact and constructing on top of a membrane layer.
	2. Meeting with Richard Clark, Senior Planning Archaeologist, on 5.12.06	-	-	<p>The following comments were made on the scope of proposed assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is an archaeological site close to Junction 24 (Ring Ditch) that needs to be included in the assessment. This should entail rapid scanning, then detailed targeting of 20%. LiDAR survey should be utilised for site 4. Geophysical survey of site 9 was done in 1992, so we should back up with trial trenching now. Need geophysics in areas of proposed flood compensation.
	3. Ecological Workshop, 6.9.06	-	-	<p>Graham Walley, ecological officer, attended the Workshop and made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The landscape is traditionally open, limiting the potential to hide the road scheme, particularly where it crosses the floodplain embankment. The vista of the historic Trent meanders should be maintained, with new structures ideally appreciating the landscape. Two seams of Gypsum run under West Leake Junction. Is it possible to leave these exposed? They are an important historical feature as the source of Nottingham Medieval alabaster. Would like to see an interim species report. There is a heronry in the woods northeast of Barton in Fabis.
	4. Ecological Workshop, 13.12.06	-	-	<p>Graham Walley, ecological officer, attended the Workshop and made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will there be some provision for bats in the new bridge structures? Could bat boxes, or blocks be incorporated into the design, or added on?
	5. Draft Environmental Statement sent on 15.9.08	-	-	No response received.
Nottinghamshire County Council	1. Environmental Statement Scoping Report, 27.10.06	6.12.06	27.4.07	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The scope does not appear to extend to cumulative effects of localised emissions from increased flows on A453, increased activity at EMA, increased movements associated with the Parkway Station, increased flows on the M1, and construction traffic flows. Ratcliffe Power Station may also point to increased air pollution overall.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pollutant levels at future receptors such as Parkway Station and urban expansion south of Clifton should be included. This may lead to declaration of an AQMA. • Overall increase in greenhouse gases should be highlighted. It is misleading to say that the overall impact upon other government policies is likely to be negligible. There are clear conflicts with the UK Sustainable Development Strategy (2005) and the UK Climate Change Programme (2006). These should be added to the Key Guidance and Legislation at 15.2. • With regard to the AST it is highly debateable whether physical fitness, journey ambience and severance will benefit from the scheme. There could be a higher risk of flooding. • There is cause to query the legitimacy of the process of arriving at scheme objectives.
		7.12.06	6.3.07	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact score at 5.7.7 of 'slight adverse' for Clifton is wrong. A 'severe adverse' impact will occur to listed buildings and the Clifton Conservation Area. • Mitigation highlighted in 5.8.2 is insufficient to deal with the negative impact on the Clifton Conservation Area. Detailed consultation with NCC regarding design solutions must be included. • Confirmation required that the Ecological Impact Assessment will follow IEEM guidelines. • Impacts on local Biodiversity Action Plan habitats required. • Appraisals of UKBAP / Section 74 species and Red Data Book, Birds of Conservation Concern listings, etc. are needed. • Impact needs to be made in context of the surrounding area giving due weight to local features. • Impacts on biodiversity should be avoided, mitigated against, or compensated for, in that order. • Biodiversity should be enhanced in line with Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. A commitment to using local stock is welcomed and this should be considered well in advance. Maximising biodiversity interests of new road verges and offsite enhancement e.g. Ratcliffe Power Station, should be considered. • Inclusion of an ecology and protected species management plan in the Outline CEMP is welcomed.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing road surface types need to be established for the noise assessment. There could be great effects during construction. Thus construction impacts should be considered, including phased programming of the works, restricted hours and night time working, provision of temporary works, to ensure disruption is minimised and that vehicular traffic does not transfer to local roads.
	2. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	20.7.07	-	<p>NCC forwarded copy of Cabinet report by Cabinet Member for the Environment with NCC's views on revised scheme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed scheme is strongly supported subject to detailed design considerations and successful implementation of the rest of the transport measures in the Multi Modal Study. Request that HA liaise with the regional Planning Body & NCC with regard to funding. The offline route and link road will have a number of benefits but there will be disadvantages requiring an environmental impact assessment and incorporation of appropriate mitigation. Close liaison between HA & NCC is imperative to agree traffic management during construction. NCC & other authorities need to have direct input into the Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) – HA to ensure that NCC is fully consulted on the production of the CEMP. Needs to be close coordination with other committed road improvements with regard to re-distribution of traffic. HA to ensure NCC is fully consulted at all stages and construction proposals developed to provide for local and long distance traffic.
	3. Meeting with Ursilla Spence, Senior Planning Archaeologist, 7.9.06	-	-	<p>Ursilla made the following comments on the proposed scope of the assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are blank sections of the scheme where the archaeological interest is unknown. PPG16 should be followed to ensure a piecemeal approach to evaluation to reduce risks. NCC would like to see trial trenching to inform the assessment and not just a controlled strip during construction.
	4. Meeting with Ursilla Spence, Senior Planning Archaeologist, 5.12.06	-	-	<p>The following comments were made on the scope of proposed assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The area around Parkway Junction is particularly sensitive. Trent & Peak did evaluations in the 1990's which we should use as background material.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
	5. Meeting with Ursilla Spence, Senior Planning Archaeologist, 10.7.07	-	-	Meeting held to explain the findings of the assessment of the offline route and to agree archaeological mitigation. All proposed mitigation was agreed.
	6. Draft Environmental Statement issued to Ursilla Spence, Senior Planning Archaeologist on 26.8.08.	-	-	No response received.
Nottingham City Council	1. Meeting with Alison Dudley (Section Leader Planning Services); Peter Smith (Principal Conservation Officer) & Edmund Hopkins (Tree Officer) on 27.6.06	-	-	<p>Site meeting held to discuss possible impacts on the Clifton Conservation Area and Village Green. Comments raised were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NCC are happy with the proposals to return the new grass verge to the Village Green, as replacement land for that taken during construction. The biggest impact on the Village Green will result from the proposed cycle lane. • The Grasby Walk proposals will affect the Conservation Area (CA), including loss of mature trees. However, it may be preferable to remove the trees if this enables a better design. • The general approach to take more land from the south (within the CA) and thus minimise loss to the Village Green was supported. NCC may redefine the CA boundary following construction, to remove the Grasby Walk area. • It was suggested that the trees should be surveyed to ensure their condition makes them worthy of retention.
	2. Meeting with Richard Taylor, noise specialist, on 18.5.07	-	-	<p>Meeting held to agree methodology to be used in the noise and air quality assessments. The methodology was agreed and the following comments were made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An Executive Summary should be included to simplify the assessment. • The northern end of the Extracare retirement development was supposed to be a 'tranquil area'. • NCC would expect to see a tolerance level of 67 dBA. As a worst case error margin WYG will consider 0.9 dB increases and properties with 67 dB or greater noise levels. • Location of a compound could be a problem on the Fair site opposite the Man of Trent pub. Disused land near Fox Covert Lane may be more suitable. • NCC would not expect prior consents for working hours & procedures under Section 6 & Section 61 to be needed. They would prefer to have on-going dialogue with the contractors during construction.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some night working would be inevitable which would be acceptable with prior notice (e.g. mail drops, website information). There is an established contact point in Clifton which could possibly be used as an information point. NCC use 'ADMS Urban, Version 2', not 'ADMS Roads'. NCC does not have any long term validation sites within the City close to the road. NCC does not have any long term air quality validation sites within the City close to the road. In general, air quality is of concern to the City Council. They will want to see all diffusion tube data, and validation, verification and prediction information.
	3. Letter from Transport Strategy following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	23.7.07	-	<p>Comments on specific scheme changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location of Mill Hill roundabout is welcomed. Extending the 4 lane single carriageway to Crusader is welcomed. Addition of the link road from Mill Hill rbt. to Clifton Lane is welcomed. Overall there is a better standard of provision for vulnerable road users. Inclusion of a new junction at NTU is welcomed. <p>Issues identified for further dialogue:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed design & siting of bus stops, shelters, information displays and CCTV. Traffic management strategies. Methods and programme to minimise construction impacts. Coordination of regional and local development on land south of Clifton.
	4. Letter from A453 Project Leader 31.7.08 to Jenni French, Parks and Open Spaces Department.	7.11.08	-	Confirmation received of previous discussions that the A453 Widening scheme will not affect any Public Open Space and therefore no Exchange Land is necessary.
	5. Meeting with air quality & noise specialist Environmental Health Officers on 23.9.08	-	Minutes issued 3.10.08	Meeting to discuss detailed Air Quality assessment (report ref A021959-REP-E-AQ-210) and Traffic Noise & Vibration assessment (report ref A021959-REP-E-ES-225). Minor comments made. Minutes issued.
	6. Draft Environmental Statement submitted on 23.9.08	3.10.08	3.10.08	Minor comments on ES Figures showing AQMAs and proposed NET Park & Ride Site.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
Rushcliffe Borough Council	1. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	15.8.07	-	<p>General comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures required preventing noise & visual intrusion at Ratcliffe on Soar. • Revised alignment and Mill Hill roundabout are welcomed. • Stopping up of Barton Lane is welcomed. • Support the use of the old road by NMUs. • Measures needed to prevent rat-running on the old road. • Construction materials to be locally sourced. • Traffic management plan to prevent traffic passing through villages during construction. • Assurances that school buses will be able to continue during construction and after completion. <p>Conservation & design comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on the Thrumpton Conservation Area is not of particular concern. • Closest listed building is Holy Trinity Church in Ratcliffe on Soar but there will be no significant impact on its setting. • Noted that new planting will mitigate for the loss of 1960's woodland at Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station, near the railway and at West Leake junction. • It is assumed that area for ecological mitigation in severed land south of Barton Lane junction is for habitat creation. • Positive regeneration management of Drift Lane Plantation is required. • It appears that the offline route could be realigned further down the hill to reduce visual impact by ground modelling and landscaping. • Elsewhere the proposals are generally acceptable but further consideration will be given when more details of levels, species etc. are provided.
	2. Meeting with Gary Pickering and John Pemblington, noise & air quality specialists, on 18.5.07	-	-	<p>Meeting held to agree methodology to be used in the noise and air quality assessments. The methodology was agreed and the following comment was made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RBC has an air quality validation site at Thrumpton that can be used by WYG as validation for their results.
	3. Meeting with air quality & noise specialist Environmental Health Officers on 23.9.08	-	Minutes Issued 3.10.08	<p>Meeting to discuss detailed Air Quality assessment (report ref A021959-REP-E-AQ-210) and Traffic Noise & Vibration assessment (report ref A021959-REP-E-ES-225). Minor comments made. Minutes issued.</p>

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
North West Leicestershire District Council	1. Meeting with Tony Cawthorne, environmental health officer, on 18.5.07	-	-	<p>Meeting held to agree methodology to be used in the noise and air quality assessments. The methodology was agreed and the following comment was made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Since most lorry movements will be through NWLDC there must be dedicated routes specified using the strategic network (i.e. M1) and not short cuts along other roads.
Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust	1. Ecological Workshop, 6.9.06	-	-	<p>NWT attended (Janice Bradley). Minutes circulated 15.9.06. Issues raised by NWT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There should be substantive public transport in place before the road scheme opens, in accordance with the Multi Modal Study. • There is a SINC north of the Barton ditches that run north at around SK523 320, where water quality may be an issue. • The EIA should include site compounds, borrow pits etc. where known. • Would like to see badger passes beneath the road, with boundary badger fencing maintained by HA until hedges have grown. • Noise & vibration impacts on badgers should be assessed. • As the borough is within one of the most ecologically damaged areas of the country, local importance should be given a higher priority in the ES than in more biologically diverse areas. Regional plan to enhance biodiversity noted. • Would like to see a rigorous assessment of indirect impacts within the ES. Maybe an extrapolation of noise / vibration / particulate standards for human health to potential impacts upon fauna, used in conjunction with professional judgement. • A 1:10 ratio of habitat replacement is requested of private developers. Will the HA match this? This high ratio is required as recreated habitats will be of reduced quality compared to the original, as will not have an already intact floral and faunal assemblage, or local genetic diversity. • NWT would like to see strengthening of hedgerows, planting of hedgerows and replacement of arable grassland. Any areas of floodplain could be put to wet grassland, reed bed, wet woodland, or species rich fen.
	2. Meeting between NWT & WYG, 14.12.06	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NWT couldn't attend the Ecological Workshop on the 13.12.06 and thus a separate meeting was held to update on ecological assessment and scheme design. NWT comments were:

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If Cedar Isle becomes severed it could be purchased and maintained for wildlife. • Could noise from a typical construction site be used to assess impact on bats on the Soar Flood Spans? • NWT would have issues with light pollution and the proximity to the floodspan roost. • Any scrub used by notable bird species should be retained or replaced E.G. bullfinch at the canal. • The biologically important hedgerow could be used as a seed source. • Roadside ditches at Thrumpton could provide important connectivity for water vole and otter. • Could we provide a wildlife bridge at Twenty Lands Plantation given the badger and bat movements here? • At Drift Lane Plantation some habitat enhancement to the south of the road may discourage badgers crossing. • Fairham Brook has been neglected for many years now, no water vole records from recent years. Would be an ideal location for enhancement e.g. compensatory wetland. • Any artificial setts built should have reinforced grille protection. • Rich grass verges would encourage owls, so to avoid road kills, the soils should ideally be low quality to avoid attracting voles. Ideally, alternative swards should be provided away from the road. • ES should include Table of areas of losses & creation & detailed breakdown of the construction process, E.G. activities at different times of year matched to the bat year. • NWT would like to see CPOs for enhancement of biodiversity as provisioned for by the Highways Act.
	3. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	20.7.07	25.1.08	<p>NWT stressed that they have concerns about the fundamental principles of the scheme and cannot comment on detailed impacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The scheme should not be developed in isolation before delivery of the significant public transport recommendations of the Multi Modal Study. • Traffic modelling demonstrates little benefit of dualling over selected improvements through grade-separated junctions on the existing route. • NWT object to the increase in land take by the Mill Hill roundabout and link road on Green Belt land and Greenfield land.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The offline proposals will irrevocably degrade the landscape and lead to further fragmentation, contrary to PPS9 and the Habitats Regulations 1994. NWT expect the HA to provide a 10:1 ratio of habitats created to habitats lost. Any habitats created or mitigation measures undertaken should be assured by the provision of sufficient ring-fenced funds for management.
Leicestershire and Rutland Wildlife Trust	1. Request for advice on the status of water vole in the county (email 11.9.08).	1.10.08	-	Telephone conversation on the 1 st October 2008 revealed that they have no information regarding the current distribution of water voles in Leicestershire.
Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)	1. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	19.7.07	3.10.07 & 25.7.08	<p>Rushcliffe Group of Nottinghamshire CPRE expressed the following concerns:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPRE has always supported the online widening of the A453 but believe the environmental effects of the offline route will be considerably worse than the off-line stretch shown in 2004. CPRE feels strongly that the scheme should remain online so as to avoid permanent and unnecessary damage to the tranquillity of the low lying alluvial levels.
Sport England	1. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	12.10.07	-	SE is a statutory consultee when development proposals affect playing fields. SE will object unless certain exceptions are met, but the current proposals appear to affect the rugby pitch at Nottingham Trent University (NTU). (NTU is liaising directly with SE and are confident that they can re-align the rugby pitch to ensure its retention and thus remove the object from SE).
	2. Letter from SE to NTU	22.04.08	15.05.08	Letter confirming that NTU's strategy for sports provision over the next 4 years will create better facilities within the campus and SE would therefore be unlikely to object to the A453 Widening proposals. HA letter to SE confirming the above.
Pedals (Nottingham Cycling Campaign)	1. Email following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	20.7.07	22.8.07	<p>Generally support the substantial improvements in NMU provision, subject to specific measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40mph speed limit and clearly marked & enforced cycle lanes on the old stretch of A453 west of Mill Hill roundabout. Shared path & toucan crossing on eastern arm of Mill Hill rbt., with direct link through the NET site. All surfaces for NMUs must be same standard as on cycleways in order to give a consistent level of comfort.

Consultee	Form of Consultation	Date of Response Received	Date of Reply by WYG/HA	Comments/Issues Raised
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good quality, convenient & coherent provision for cyclists at all roundabouts in Clifton. • Comprehensive, clear, well maintained cycle direction signs throughout.
Gotham Parish Council	1. Letter following Public Consultation Exhibition on 6/8/9 June 2007	11.7.07	-	<p>Gotham Parish Council has always supported the A453 widening but has major disagreements with the proposals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about increased traffic through the village during construction. • Concerned about increased noise and visual intrusion but welcome the mitigation measures. • Opposed to any potential infill development resulting from the offline route through the Green Belt. • Opposed to the closure of Barton Lane junction which will split the link between the two villages. • Strongly object to the Mill Hill Roundabout which is unjustified on traffic grounds (and also oppose the NET Park & Ride site).